RENE L. VALLADARES 1 Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 11479 2 RAQUEL LAZO Assistant Federal Public Defender 3 Nevada State Bar No. 8540 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577/Phone 5 (702) 388-6261/Fax Raquel lazo@fd.org 6 Attorney for Ramon Avendano-Soto 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:20-CR-003-APG-EJY 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE **REPLY DEADLINES TO** 13 v. GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SUPPRESS (ECF NO. RAMON AVENDANO-SOTO AND 14 42) AND MOTION TO SEVER (ECF JORGE ALFREDO SOTO, NO. 43) AND EVIDENTIARY 15 Defendant. **HEARING** 16 (First Request) 17 18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Nicholas A. 19 Trutanich, United States Attorney, and Kevin Douglas Schiff, Assistant United States Attorney, 20 counsel for the United States of America, and Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, 21 and Raquel Lazo, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for Ramon Avendano-Soto, and 22 Christopher R. Oram, counsel for Jorge Alfredo Soto, that the reply deadlines to the 23 Government's Response (ECF No. 36) to Defendant's Motion to Suppress (ECF No. 42) 24 currently due July 22, 2020, and the Government's Response (ECF No. 37) to Defendant's 25 Motion to Sever (ECF No. 43) currently scheduled for July 23, 2020, be vacated and set to 26

July 30, 2020, and that the evidentiary hearing currently scheduled for July 29, 2020, be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this Court, but no sooner than forty-five (45) days.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Defense counsel require additional time to file their respective replies to the government's response (ECF No. 42) to each defendant's motion to suppress (ECF Nos. 27, 37 and 38). Defense counsel for Soto also requires additional time to file his reply to the government's response (ECF No. 43) to his motion to sever (ECF No. 36).
- 2. In light of the government's response (ECF No. 42), defense counsel for Avendano-Soto will be filing a motion to compel information she believes is relevant and pertinent to the pending motion to suppress. The motion to compel impacts the ultimate issues raised in the suppression motions. The parties believe that it will be necessary to permit this motion to be fully briefed and litigated before the evidentiary hearing is held. As such, the parties request the evidentiary hearing be continued.
  - 3. The defendants are not incarcerated and do not object to the continuance.
  - 4. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively prepare the replies.
- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

This is the first request to continue the reply deadline dates and evidentiary hearing filed herein.

1	DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2020	0.
2		
3	RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney
4	rederal Fublic Defender	Officed States Attorney
5	/s/ Raquel Lazo By	/s/ Kevin Douglas Schiff By
6	RAQUEL LAZO Assistant Federal Public Defender	KEVIN DOUGLAS SCHIFF
7	Assistant Federal Public Defender	Assistant United States Attorney
8	/s/ Christopher R. Oram	
9	By	
10	CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM Counsel for Jorge Alfredo Soto	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAMON AVENDANO-SOTO AND JORGE ALFREDO SOTO,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:20-cr-00003-APG-EJY

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Defense counsel require additional time to file their respective replies to the government's response (ECF No. 42) to each defendant's motion to suppress (ECF Nos. 27, 37 and 38). Defense counsel for Soto also requires additional time to file his reply to the government's response (ECF No. 43) to his motion to sever (ECF No. 36).
- 2. In light of the government's response, defense counsel for Avendano-Soto will be filing a motion to compel information she believes is relevant and pertinent to the pending motion to suppress. The motion to compel impacts the ultimate issues raised in the suppression motions. The parties believe that it will be necessary to permit this motion to be fully briefed and litigated before the evidentiary hearing is held. As such, the parties request the evidentiary hearing be continued.
  - 3. The defendants are not incarcerated and do not object to the continuance.
  - 4. The parties agree to the continuance.

### Case 2:20-cr-00003-APG-EJY Document 47 Filed 07/21/20 Page 5 of 6

	5.	The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but	
merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively			
prepare the replies.			
	6.	Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage	
of jus	tice.		

## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:20-CR-003-APG-EJY

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

RAMON AVENDANO-SOTO AND JORGE ALFREDO SOTO,

Defendant.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defense counsel's replies to the Government's Response (ECF No. 36) to Defendant's Motion to Suppress (ECF No. 42) currently due on July 22, 2020, and the Government's Response (ECF No. 37) to Defendant's Motion to Sever (ECF No. 43) currently due on July 23, 2020, be vacated and continued to July 30, 2020, and that the evidentiary hearing currently scheduled for July 29, 2020 at 9:30 am, be vacated and continued to October 6, 2020 at the hour of 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 3A.

DATED this 21st day of July, 2020.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE